IN THE DISTRICT COURT AT NAPIER

I TE KŌTI-Ā-ROHE KI AHURIRI

CRI-2022-041-000947

WORKSAFE NEW ZEALAND Prosecutor

v

LOGGED ON LOGGING 2020 LIMITED Defendant

Date: 28 August 2024

Appearances: Mr S Cossey for the Prosecutor Mr N Beadle for the Defendant

Date of Decision 28 August 2024

DECISION OF JUDGE G A REA ON CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS REPARATION AND PROSECUTION COSTS

[1] On 15 December 2023 I sentenced the Defendant following its plea of guilty to a charge that on 30 June 2021, in the Aropaoanui Road area of Tangoio, Hawkes Bay, it being a PCBU and having duty to ensure as far as reasonably practicable the health and safety of workers who worked for that PCBU, including Jake William Duncan, while the workers were at work in the business or undertaking, namely work at its contracted site at Skid 3, 257 Aropaoanui Road, Tangoio, Hawkes Bay, did fail to comply with that duty and that failure exposed workers to the risk of serious injury or death. The business or undertaking was forestry work and as a result of the Defendant's failure to comply with its duties Mr Duncan was killed.

[2] At the end of the sentencing there were two issues left unresolved. The principal issue was the amount of consequential loss reparation that the Defendant

should pay for the deceased's biological child and his two de facto stepchildren. The other issue was the amount of costs that the Defendant should be ordered to pay to the Prosecutor.

[3] For some time after the sentencing the issue of consequential loss reparation could not be agreed between the parties. Each side put forward affidavit evidence from an expert but the experts were unable to agree on what the amount of emotional harm reparation should be. I concluded that the only way to resolve the matter was to resume the Court hearing and have each of the experts give their evidence and be cross examined with a view to me determining on that evidence what the appropriate figure would be.

[4] As I understand it the parties were aware that the Accident Compensation Corporation had a mechanism for determining consequential loss in circumstances similar to this case. As I understand it, it was agreed that Mr Cossey, on behalf of the Prosecutor, and with the approval of Defence Counsel, would request the relevant information from the Accident Compensation Corporation under the Official Information Act 1982.

[5] That request was made by Mr Cossey and he subsequently received a comprehensive response from ACC. The prosecution expert was then instructed to recalculate the consequential loss using ACC's methodology.

[6] Assessed on that basis the consequential loss was recalculated as being \$202,187.00. That figure is accepted by Counsel for the Defendant.

[7] Because Counsel are agreed on the figure I make an order that the consequential loss reparation to be paid by the Defendant is the sum of \$202,187.00. I also record that in arriving at this decision I do not need to consider any further evidence or any further submissions from Counsel. I record that the matter has been sensibly resolved by taking into account entitlements under the Accident Compensation Act and by the adoption of the methodology used by ACC in their letter of 2 May 2024 sent to Mr Cossey.

[8] I consider that it is sensible to incorporate the ACC advice as an addendum to this judgment and I do so. There may be reasons, which I am not familiar with, why either or both Counsel do not consider that to be an appropriate course. If that is the case they should file a memorandum accordingly and I will revisit the matter.

[9] The agreed amount of cost of \$3310.36 was ordered to be paid in paragraph [12] of my sentencing notes of 15 December 2023. No further order is required.

Judge G A Rea

District Court Judge | Kaiwhakawā o te Kōti ā-Rohe Date of authentication | Rā motuhēhēnga: 28/08/2024 "Addorderan"

1¹

2 May 2024		He Kaupare. He Manaaki. He Whakaora. prevention. care. recovery
Sam Cossey Sam.Cossey@v	worksafe.govt.nz	RECEIVED
Kia ora Sam		2 5 JUL 2024
Your Official In Thank you for Act 1982 (the A	nformation Act request, reference: GOV-031499 your email of 3 April 2024, asking for the following inf Act):	ormation under the Official Information
To ass about Schedu comm We wo 1. The	ist the Court's consideration of consequential loss, Wo the actuarial calculation for aggregated spousal entitl ule 1 of the Act. The Court has stated that WorkSafe se on-sense way forward to achieve consistency of appro ould therefore appreciate it if you could provide inform e methodology adopted when carrying out the actuario	rkSafe seeks from ACC information ement carried out under clause 67(2) of eking this information from ACC is a ach in calculating consequential loss. ation about the following: Il calculation, including but not limited
и. а. b. с. d. е.	what information is taken into account. how is the base rate of entitlement calculated are there any adjustments for inflation (CPI) or othen how are they calculated and applied, and the source when aggregating any entitlement, if any risk-free d cash-flows by Government departments published b if any discounting approaches or conventions are ap	variations other than CPI and if so, of that information Iscount rates for valuation of future y New Zealand Treasury are applied plied
f. g. h.	if the aggregation allows for mortality/survival for to used. is there a specific formula that is used how does ACC determine when the calculation is app setting the calculation date?	hose entitled, and if so what criteria are blied, and what criteria are used in
2. Any 3. Any	r internal guidance or advice applied by ACC when carr r other information that is relevant to ACC's calculation	ying out the actuarial calculation n under clause 67.
Background to Dependants a the Accident C 67 of Schedule aggregated pa weekly compe	o spousal fatal weekly compensation nd spouses/partners of a deceased person whose dea Compensation Act 2001 (the AC Act) are eligible for fat e 1, spouses or partners may choose to convert their v ayments. Once aggregated payments are paid, the spo ensation.	th was caused by an event covered by al weekly compensation. Under Clause veekly payments to one or more use is no longer eligible for any further
The maximum Schedule 1 of 80% to each c previous earn (children/othe	n fatal weekly compensation paid for a fatal claim is 80 the AC Act assigns a maximum of 60% of the 80% to t hild (clause 70 and clause 71). The total amount payal ings (clause 74). Therefore, payments for spouses wit er) are reduced pro rata so the total payments do not	% of the deceased's previous earnings. he spouse (clause 66), and 20% of the ble is capped at 80% of the deceased's n more than two eligible dependants exceed the maximum payable.
Information u The assumption outstanding c updated six-m assumptions/	used in the actuarial calculation ons used for the calculations are in line with the assun laims liability (OCL) valuation. The assumptions and pa nonthly, with the latest values available at the time of parameters used are:	nptions used for ACC's annual arameters for the calculation are update. The main

GOV-031499 Page 1 of 4



r*

acc

1. payment amount,

- 2. adjusted cumulative survival probability, and
- 3. discount factor.

Payment amount

First, the payment amount starts with the current weekly compensation gross amount. It is indexed (inflated) annually (as required by the AC Act 2001) by:

- the AWE rate as at 1 April if the spouse is currently receiving their fatal weekly compensation
 payments based on the <u>minimum weekly compensation amount</u> (80% of minimum weekly
 earnings).
- by the LCI rate as at 1 July if the spouse is currently receiving their fatal weekly compensation
 payments based on the deceased's actual earnings.

Survival probability

Next, the underlying source of survival probability is the Life Tables, where the weighted average mortalities of male and female are used for gender diverse and unknown gender.

The probability that the spouse of age at the time of payment date dies within a year is obtained from the Life Table. This probability is converted to the probability of dying within a week. The weekly probability is adjusted for:

- a 50% reduction in mortality assumed during the first year of payment (i.e., the first 52 payments) and
- any additional mortality loading from an underwriter's assessment of spouse medical notes.

The adjusted weekly survival probability is iteratively multiplied to obtain cumulative survival probabilities on subsequent payment dates.

Discount Factor

Finally, the discount factor for a given future payment is based on the interest rate (7-year swap rate), discounting to the date of quote.

The single aggregated payment amount is then calculated by summing all present values of weekly compensation payments on future payment dates. The value of the single aggregated payment amount quoted is rounded to the nearest integer.

How multiple aggregated payments amounts are calculated

A spouse may choose to receive their weekly compensation as either one single aggregated payment or any number of aggregated payments at least six months apart. To calculate multiple aggregated payment amounts, the single aggregated payment amount is first calculated as described in the previous section. Next, the level payment amount to be paid on each requested payment date is calculated. This is the value such that the sum of present values of the level payment amount over the requested payment dates equals the single aggregated payment amount. This calculation uses the same discount factors and cumulative survival probabilities for each specified payment date as those used for calculating the single aggregated payment.

This means that, whether the spouse chooses to receive their weekly compensation payments as a single aggregated amount, as a number of level aggregated payments at least six months apart, or as weekly payments, the sum of the present value of all future payments at the quote date is the same.

Summary

A spouse/partner of a deceased claimant is entitled to receive fatal weekly compensation, with the option to opt for one or more aggregated payment instead of weekly payments. The spouse receives

GOV-031499 Page 3 of 4

all

compensation at a rate equivalent to 60% of the deceased claimant's weekly compensation, subject to adjustments in cases where two or more dependents qualify for fatal weekly compensation.

The spouse receives these weekly payments for a fixed duration specified in the ACC legislation. Specifically, clause 66(5) of Schedule 1 of the AC Act, states that weekly financial support for spouses of a deceased claimant ceases at the end of five years from the date it was first payable, or where applicable until the youngest child of the deceased under their care has turned 18, or no longer has care of all other dependents of the deceased, whichever date is later.

The calculation of the single aggregated payment amount hinges on several factors, including:

- Adjusting the payment amounts for future inflation using the projected Average Weekly Earnings (AWE) rates as at April 1 if the spouse is currently receiving the minimum weekly compensation, or the projected Labour Cost Index (LCI) rates as at July 1, if otherwise.
- The probability of the spouse being alive at each payment date, using mortality by age and gender from NZ Life tables.
- Applying a 50% reduction to the probability of mortality in the first year of payments, alongside adjustments to mortality rates based on an underwriting assessment of the spouse's medical notes.
- Applying discounting factors using the 7-year swap rate, an estimate of the single effective discount rate for the average duration of fatal weekly compensation paid to a spouse.

When the spouse requests multiple aggregated payments, a level payment is made on the requested payment dates.

If you have any questions about this response, please get in touch You can email ACC's actuarial team at <u>acturialrequests@acc.co.nz</u> if you have any questions or would like to have a discussion about any of the above information.

Ngā mihi

· · ·

Christopher Johnston Manager Official Information Act Services Government Engagement